

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

SS: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,127		10/30/2003	Hyun Woo Song	2013P115	2013P115 4968	
8791	7590	08/15/2006	EXAMINER			
		LOFF TAYLOR &	PRENTY, MARK V			
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANG	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2822		
				DATE MAILED: 08/15/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,127	SONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	MARK PRENTY	2822	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she t with	the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 16(a). In no event, however, may a reprill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Ity be timely filed  Its from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	lv 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		rs, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-23</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-17</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 23 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Ap	plication No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been re	eceived in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,

Application/Control Number: 10/699,127

Art Unit: 2822

This Office Action is in response to the RCE filed on July 25, 2006.

Claims 18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent 5,493,577 to Choquette et al. (Choquette).

As to independent claim 18, Choquette discloses a semiconductor optical device (see the entire patent, including the Fig. 2 disclosure) comprising: confinementconducting regions having semiconductor layers 20 (see column 10, lines 15-18, for example, and note that "carder" should apparently read "carrier"), each of the confinement-conducting regions including one or more material layers (note the paragraph bridging columns 12-13); and a gain region 18 having a semiconductor layer, which is formed between the confinement-conducting regions and includes one or more material layers, wherein the confinement-conducting regions and the gain region have a mesa structure, and a lateral portion of at least one of the material layers constituting the semiconductor layers of the confinement-conducting regions and the gain region is recessed, and the recess is partially or wholly filled with an oxide layer, a nitride layer or a combination of them (i.e., layers 20 have oxidized portions, which is structurally tantamount to their having recesses wholly filled with an oxide layer), wherein at least one reflecting mirror 14 is further formed so as to be parallel with the confinementconducting regions and the gain region such that output light is perpendicular to the confinement-conducting regions and the gain region.

Claim 18 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquette.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,127

Art Unit: 2822

As to dependent claim 20, Choquette's oxide layer is formed of  $Al_2O_3$  (i.e., aluminum oxide – see column 9, lines 22-24).

Claim 20 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquette.

As to dependent claim 21, Choquette's semiconductor layers 20 constituting the confinement-conducting regions are one of a p-type semiconductor layer, an n-type semiconductor layer and a combination of them (see column 6, lines 46-54, together with column 10, lines 4-14).

Claim 21 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquette.

As to dependent claim 22, Choquette's semiconductor layer 18 constituting the gain region is one of a p-type semiconductor layer, an n-type semiconductor layer, and an undoped semiconductor layer (see column 8, lines 17-25).

Claim 22 is thus rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquette.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over United States Patent 5,493,577 to Choquette et al. (Choquette). Specifically, claim 19 depends on independent claim 18, which is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquette (see above). The above explanation of the rejection of independent claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquette is hereby incorporated by reference into this rejection of dependent claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in

the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Choquette. The difference, therefore, between device claim 19 and Choquette's device is a process one (i.e., their oxide layers are formed by atomic layer deposition and oxidation, respectively). Insofar as claim 19's oxide layer appears to be structurally the same as or similar to Choquette's oxide layer (in view of their similar use, for example), claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Choquette. See MPEP 2113.

Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable over the prior art of record if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-13 and 15-17 are allowable over the prior art of record.

The applicant's response filed on February 28, 2006 notes: "Amended Claim 18 combines all of the limitations of original Claims 9 and 15 to recite allowed features 'wherein at least one reflecting mirror is further formed so as to be parallel with the confinement-conducting regions and the gain region such that output light is perpendicular to the confinement-conducting regions and the gain region,' as recited in allowed Claim 15." However, although original dependent claim 15 was first indicated as being allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of original independent claim 9 (see the Office Action mailed on March 16, 2005), original dependent claim 15 was subsequently rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquette (see the Office Action mailed on August 4, 2005). Independent claim 18 is thus now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choquette.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,127 Page 5

Art Unit: 2822

Registered practitioners can telephone the examiner at (571) 272-1843. Any voicemail message left for the examiner must include the name and registration number of the registered practitioner calling, and the Application/Control (Serial) Number. Technology Center 2800's general telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Mark V. Prenty Primary Examiner